| DECISION-MAKER: | HEALTH OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL |
|-------------------|---|
| SUBJECT: | SOUTHAMPTON SUICIDE PREVENTION PLAN |
| DATE OF DECISION: | 29 JUNE 2017 |
| REPORT OF: | CHAIR OF THE HEALTH OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL |

CONTACT DETAILS

| AUTHOR: | Name: | Mark Pirnie | Tel: | 023 8083 3886 |
|---------|---------|-------------------------------|------|---------------|
| | E-mail: | Mark.pirnie@southampton.gov.u | uk | |

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

Not applicable

BRIEF SUMMARY

In December 2016, the House of Commons Health Committee published an interim report on suicide. Witnesses told the inquiry that the underlying strategy is essentially sound but that the key problem lies with inadequate implementation. 95% of local authorities now have a suicide prevention plan, but there is currently little or no information about the quality of those plans.

The House of Commons Health Committee noted that there is a role for local scrutiny of implementation of suicide prevention plans and considered that this local scrutiny could be a role for health overview and scrutiny committees within local authorities. The Committee therefore made a recommendation to Government that effective implementation of the suicide prevention plan in local areas should be a key role of health overview and scrutiny committees.

In recognition of the information above please find appended to this report a briefing paper informing the Panel of the approach to suicide prevention in Southampton; the Suicide Prevention Plan and the Suicide Prevention Action Plan.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

| (i) | That the Panel consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Prevention plan and how effectively it is being implemented. | | | | |

REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

1. In response to a recommendation from the House of Commons Health Committee.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

2. None.

DETAIL (Including consultation carried out)

- The House of Commons Health Committee concluded an inquiry into suicide prevention. The Committee's final report was published on 16 March 2017.
- 4. In the report, the Committee welcomed the fact that 95 per cent of local authorities have a suicide prevention plan in place or in development. However they were concerned that there is no detail about the quality of the plans or about how effectively they are being implemented.
- 5. The Committee consider that local scrutiny is essential for ensuring effective

| as a key role of these committees Effective local scrutiny of a local authority's suicide prevention plan should reduce or eliminate the need for intervention by the national implementation board'. 7. Reflecting the recommendations from the Committee the Chair of the Panel has requested that the HOSP consider the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan, and its implementation, at the June 2017 meeting. 8. To inform the discussion please find attached, as appendices, a briefing paper outlining the work of Public Health in the development and implementation of the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan; the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan and the Action Plan to deliver the Plan. 9. The Panel are asked to discuss with representatives from Public Health information contained within the appendices and to consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide Prevention plans and how effectively it is being implemented. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS Capital/Revenue 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: | 'health overview and scrutiny committees should also be involved in ensuring effective implementation of local authorities' plans. This should be established as a key role of these committees Effective local scrutiny of a local authority's suicide prevention plan should reduce or eliminate the need for intervention by the national implementation board'. 7. Reflecting the recommendations from the Committee the Chair of the Panel has requested that the HOSP consider the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan, and its implementation, at the June 2017 meeting. 8. To inform the discussion please find attached, as appendices, a briefing paper outlining the work of Public Health in the development and implementation of the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan; the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan and the Action Plan to deliver the Plan. 9. The Panel are asked to discuss with representatives from Public Health information contained within the appendices and to consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide Prevention plans and how effectively it is being implemented. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS Capital/Revenue 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None | | | | ew and scrutiny committees in local orm this important function. | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------|--|--|
| has requested that the HOSP consider the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan, and its implementation, at the June 2017 meeting. 8. To inform the discussion please find attached, as appendices, a briefing paper outlining the work of Public Health in the development and implementation of the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan; the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan and the Action Plan to deliver the Plan. 9. The Panel are asked to discuss with representatives from Public Health information contained within the appendices and to consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide Prevention plans and how effectively it is being implemented. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS Capital/Revenue 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None KEY DECISION No | has requested that the HOSP consider the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan, and its implementation, at the June 2017 meeting. To inform the discussion please find attached, as appendices, a briefing paper outlining the work of Public Health in the development and implementation of the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan; the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan and the Action Plan to deliver the Plan. The Panel are asked to discuss with representatives from Public Health information contained within the appendices and to consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide Prevention plans and how effectively it is being implemented. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS Capital/Revenue None. Property/Other The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS None KEY DECISION No | 6. | 'health overview and scrutiny committees should also be involved in ensuring effective implementation of local authorities' plans. This should be established as a key role of these committees Effective local scrutiny of a local authority's suicide prevention plan should reduce or eliminate the need for | | | |
| paper outlining the work of Public Health in the development and implementation of the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan; the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan and the Action Plan to deliver the Plan. 9. The Panel are asked to discuss with representatives from Public Health information contained within the appendices and to consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide Prevention plans and how effectively it is being implemented. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS Capital/Revenue 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | paper outlining the work of Public Health in the development and implementation of the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan; the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan and the Action Plan to deliver the Plan. 9. The Panel are asked to discuss with representatives from Public Health information contained within the appendices and to consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide Prevention plans and how effectively it is being implemented. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS Capital/Revenue 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 7. | has requested that t | he HOSP cor | sider the Southampton Suicide Prevention | |
| information contained within the appendices and to consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide Prevention plans and how effectively it is being implemented. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS Capital/Revenue 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | information contained within the appendices and to consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide Prevention plans and how effectively it is being implemented. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS Capital/Revenue 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 8. | paper outlining the work of Public Health in the development and implementation of the Southampton Suicide Prevention Plan; the | | | |
| Capital/Revenue 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Capital/Revenue 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 9. | information contained within the appendices and to consider the quality of the Southampton Suicide Prevention plans and how effectively it is being | | | |
| 10. None. Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | RESOL | JRCE IMPLICATIONS | 3 | | |
| Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Property/Other 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Capita | //Revenue | | | |
| 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 11. None. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 10. | None. | | | |
| LEGAL IMPLICATIONS Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Proper | ty/Other | | | |
| Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report: 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 11. | None. | | | |
| 12. The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | The duty for local authorities to undertake health scrutiny is set out in National Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | LEGAL | . IMPLICATIONS | | | |
| Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Health Service Act 2006. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000. Other Legal Implications: 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Statuto | ory power to underta | ke proposals | in the report: | |
| 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 13. None RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 12. | | | | |
| RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | Other I | <u>_egal Implications</u> : | | | |
| 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 14. None POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | 13. | None | | | |
| POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS 15. None KEY DECISION No | RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS | | | | |
| 15. None KEY DECISION No | 15. None KEY DECISION No | 14. | 14. None | | | |
| KEY DECISION No | KEY DECISION No | POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS | | | | |
| | | 15. | None | | | |
| WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED: None directly as a result of this report | WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED: None directly as a result of this report | KEY D | ECISION | No | | |
| | | WARD | S/COMMUNITIES AF | FECTED: | None directly as a result of this report | |

| | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION | | | |
|----------|---|--|-----------|----------------------|
| Append | dices | | | |
| 1. | Briefing Paper – Southampton Suicio | de Preven | tion Plan | |
| 2. | Southampton Suicide Prevention Pla | an 2016 - 2 | 2019 | |
| 3. | Southampton Suicide Prevention Pla | an – Actior | n Plan | |
| 4. | Suicide Risk Factors | | | |
| Docum | ents In Members' Rooms | | | |
| 1. | None | | | |
| Equalit | y Impact Assessment | | | |
| | Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality and Safety Impact Assessments (ESIA) to be carried out. | | | |
| Privacy | / Impact Assessment | | | |
| | Do the implications/subject of the report require a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) to be carried out. | | | No |
| Equalit | Other Background Documents Equality Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at: | | | |
| Title of | Background Paper(s) | Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable) | | les / Schedule be |
| 1. | None | | | |